

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

					mk_
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/494,107	01/28/00	LEE		I	AD6430 US CI
		IM52/0201		EXAMINER	
Kevin S Dobson				MULLIS	3, J
E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Company				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Legal - Patents					5
1007 Market Street				1711	
Wilmington DE 19898				DATE MAILED:	
				02/01/01	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trad marks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/494,107

Applicant(%)

Lee et al

Examiner

Jeffrey Mullis

Group Art Unit 1711



Since this application is in condition for allowance exce in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle	ept for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed
shortened statutory period for response to this action is longer, from the mailing date of this communication.	s set to expire
isposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
Claim(s)	
Claim(s)	
	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers	D 040
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent D	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved disapproved.
The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	nor
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.
riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	riarity under 25.11.5.0. \$ 11.0(a) /d)
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED co	
received.	ples of the phonty documents have been
received in Application No. (Series Code/Seri	al Number)
received in this national stage application fro	
# O - virt - it	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
attachment(s)	
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Pa	aper No(s).
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
□ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, P	110-948
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	

Serial No. 09/494,107

Art Unit 1711

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121:

- I. Claims 1-21, drawn to a laminated film, classified in Class 428, subclass 420.
- II. Claim 22, drawn to an adhesive, classified in Class 525, subclass 78.

Inventions I and II are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as an adhesive for adhering labels and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious Should applicant traverse on the ground that the variants. species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Serial No. 09/494,107

Art Unit 1711

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

A telephone call was made to Keven Dobson on 1-9-01 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Jeffrey Mullis at telephone number (703) 308-2820.

J. Mullis:cdc
January 29, 2001

